W	0	
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
Un	ited States of America,	NO. 19-01716MJ-001
	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v.		
Set	oastian Sanchez-Izquero,	
	Defendant.	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has		
been held. Defendant was present and was represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and order the		
detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.		
FINDINGS OF FACT		
I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:		
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the Unite	ed States or lawfully admitted for permanent
residence. The defendant at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	_	s in the United States or in the District of
	Arizona.	
The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	•	* *
☐ The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	Un v. Seld In beed predet det □	United States of America, Plaintiff, V. Sebastian Sanchez-Izquero, Defendant. In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 been held. Defendant was present and was preponderance of the evidence the defendadetention of the defendant pending trial in this FINDINGS. I find by a preponderance of the evidence that The defendant is not a citizen of the Unite residence. The defendant, at the time of the charged of the defendant has no significant contact Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the Unibond reasonably calculated to assure his/h The defendant has a prior criminal history The defendant lives/works in Mexico.

1	☐ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
2	☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law		
3	enforcement.		
4	☐ The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.		
5	The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services		
6	Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter except as noted in the record.		
7	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
8	1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.		
9	2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.		
10	DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION		
11	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her		
12	designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the exten practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation		
13			
14	with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of ar		
15	attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in		
16	connection with a court proceeding.		
17	APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
18	IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the		
19	District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for		
20	review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.		
21			
22	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, i is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing		
23	before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and		
24	investigate the potential third party custodian.		
25	DATE: 9/25/2019		
26	A Holeal		
27	Honorable James F. Metcalf United States Magistrate Judge		

28